

AT AN ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY, VIRGINIA HELD ON THE 23rd DAY OF JANUARY, 2012 AT 6:00 P.M. IN THE BOARD CHAMBERS, MONTGOMERY COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER, 755 ROANOKE STREET, CHRISTIANSBURG, VIRGINIA:

PRESENT:	James D. Politis	-Chair
	William H. Brown	-Vice Chair
	Mary W. Biggs	-Supervisors
	Gary D. Creed	
	Matthew R. Gabriele	
	Annette S. Perkins	
	Christopher A. Tuck	
	F. Craig Meadows	-County Administrator
	L. Carol Edmonds	-Assistant County Administrator
	Martin M. McMahon	-County Attorney
	Ron Bonnema	-County Engineer
	Brian Hamilton	-Economic Development Director
	Steve Sandy	-Planning Director
	Dari Jenkins	-Zoning Administrator
	Ruth L. Richey	-Public Information Officer
	Vickie L. Swinney	-Secretary, Board of Supervisors

CALL TO ORDER

The Chair called the meeting to order.

INTO CLOSED MEETING

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by Annette S. Perkins and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors hereby enters into Closed Meeting for the purpose of discussing the following:

Section 2.2-3711 (5) Discussion Concerning a Prospective Business or Industry or the Expansion of an Existing Business or Industry Where No Previous Announcement Has Been Made of the Business or Industry's Interest in Locating or Expanding Its Facilities in the Community.

1. Project # 2012-008

- (3) Discussion or Consideration of the Acquisition of Real Property for Public Purpose, or of the Disposition of Publicly Held Real Property, Where Discussion in an Open Meeting Would Adversely Affect the Bargaining Position or Negotiating Strategy of the Public Body
1. New Blacksburg High School Property
 2. New Courthouse Property

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

<u>AYE</u>	<u>NAY</u>
Gary D. Creed	None
Annette S. Perkins	
William H. Brown	
Mary W. Biggs	
Christopher A. Tuck	
Matthew R. Gabriele	
James D. Politis	

OUT OF CLOSED MEETING

On a motion by Annette S. Perkins, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors ends their Closed Meeting to return to Regular Session.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

<u>AYE</u>	<u>NAY</u>
Annette S. Perkins	None
William H. Brown	
Mary W. Biggs	
Christopher A. Tuck	
Matthew R. Gabriele	
Gary D. Creed	
James D. Politis	

CERTIFICATION OF CLOSED MEETING

On a motion by William H. Brown, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County has convened a Closed Meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such Closed Meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby certifies that to the best of each member's knowledge (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion conveying the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board.

VOTE

AYES

William H. Brown
Mary W. Biggs
Christopher A. Tuck
Matthew R. Gabriele
Gary D. Creed
Annette S. Perkins
James D. Politis

NAYS

None

ABSENT DURING VOTE

None

ABSENT DURING MEETING

None

INVOCATION

A moment of silence was led by the Chair.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Ordinance Amending Chapter 10, Entitled Zoning, Section 10-45(a)(3) – Sign Regulations
An Ordinance amending Chapter 10, entitled Zoning, Section 10-45(a)(3) of Sign Regulations of the Code of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, in order to:

- Increase the allowable size of temporary contractor's signs from no more than twelve (12) sq. ft. to no more than thirty-two (32) sq. ft. on the property on which the work is being done; and

- Create a new subsection (h) specifically for political campaign signs under “Permits not required”; and
- Increase the allowable size of political campaign signs from no more than twelve (12) sq. ft. to no more than thirty-two (32) sq. ft. on any privately owned lot or parcel.

Dari Jenkins, Zoning Administrator, summarized the proposed amendment to the County’s Zoning Ordinance pertaining to the Sign Regulations as follows:

Increase the allowable size of temporary contractor's signs from no more than twelve (12) sq. ft. to no more than thirty-two (32) sq. ft. on the property on which the work is being done.

Section 10-4S(a)(3)(g)(1) currently limits contractors to posting only one (1) sign per parcel on which the work is being done. The current sign area is limited to only twelve (12) square feet which is smaller than normally allowed for contractor signs. Therefore; staff proposes to increase this limitation to 32 sq. ft. allowing the use of standard sized materials for construction of the signs. Often the building permit will be posted on this sign for convenience of the inspectors and material suppliers.

Amend the temporary signs section by creating a new subsection (h) specifically for political campaign signs under "Permits not required".

Discussion with the County Attorney confirmed that political signs are protected speech (under the First Amendment, freedom of speech. The government is not allowed to restrict the freedom of speech by specifying a period of time for which the political signs may be displayed on private property. To facilitate clarity in the regulations, staff has removed "political campaign signs" from the subsection 10-45(a)(3)(g), Temporary Signs, and created a new subsection (10-45(a)(3)(h) for "political campaign signs" which has no reference to removal of such sign(s) as long as the signs are located on "any privately owned lot or parcel".

Increase the allowable size of political campaign signs from no more than twelve (12) sq. ft to no more than thirty-two (32) sq. ft. on any privately owned lot or parcel.

Increasing the allowable size of political campaign signs up to 32 sq. ft. in area on any privately owned lot or parcel will be consistent with the requirements of most other localities in the area. Allowing the candidate the option of using standard sized materials for production of the signs, should result in reducing sign costs for the candidates.

Ms. Jenkins reported that it came to the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors’ attention by candidates during the election season that candidates were not in compliance with the County’s sign regulation because the majority of the political signs were larger than allowed. Typical signs are 4’ x 4’ or 4’x 8’.

At their January 11, 2012 meeting, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the ordinance amendment.

Supervisor Creed believes that the ordinance should include a specific time for political signs or contractor signs to be removed.

The County Attorney stated that the government is not allowed to restrict the freedom of speech by specifying a period of time for which the political signs may be displayed on private property. If political signs are located in the right-of-way then they can be removed.

There being no speakers, the public hearing was closed.

Ordinance Amending the Fee Schedule for Planning and Zoning Activities

An Ordinance amending the fee schedule for planning and zoning activities by: adding application fees for Planned Unit Development- Traditional Neighborhood Development District (PUD-TND) of \$1000 + 40/acre or portion thereof; adding application fees for Traditional Neighborhood Design- Infill (TND-I) of \$375; adding application fees for Subdivision Variance of \$500; and incorporating the existing AFD Additions & Renewal fee of \$50 (1 applicant) or \$20 (multiple applicants) as required per Section 2-145 of the Montgomery County Code. Applicants shall also pay all costs associated with publishing the required legal notices.

Steve Sandy, Planning Director, summarized the proposed ordinance amending the fee schedule for planning and zoning activities. Mr. Sandy stated that new zoning districts were added to the Comprehensive Plan (TND-Infill and TND-PUD) and therefore an amendment to the fee schedule is needed to include these activities. Staff is also taking this opportunity to add the existing fee for AFD's to the fee schedule as it was not included on the fee schedule before.

Another small change to the ordinance is deleting the statement that the public hearing ad will be advertised in the Roanoke Times and adding advertising in a newspaper of local circulation. The Planning Commission believes that names of specific newspapers should not be stated but rather state that required notices for public hearings would be placed in a newspaper of local circulation in Montgomery County.

At their January 11, 2012 meeting, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the amendment to the fee schedule.

There being no speakers, the public hearing was closed.

PUBLIC ADDRESS

Jim Johnson a volunteer with the Montgomery County Cooperative Extension Program, requested the Board to reinstate funding for the Agriculture and Natural Resources Extension Agent for Montgomery County. Mr. Johnson reported that the Agricultural Agent in Pulaski County has resigned leaving the New River Valley with only one Agricultural Agent located in Floyd. He stated that funding this position will strengthen their request for matching funds from Virginia Tech.

Tom Roberts addressed the Board regarding the old Blacksburg Middle School site. The County approved selling 6 acres at this site to Modea in April 2011. This property is still zoned Residential R-4, which only allows for single family housing. Mr. Roberts urged the Board to act quickly to get this property rezoned before Modea gets frustrated and moves on.

Bennett Teates addressed the Board regarding Northwest Montgomery Village Center Foundation's request to transform the Old Prices Fork Elementary School into the Northwest Montgomery Village Center. Mr. Teates reported the citizens group in Prices Fork has established a 501(c) 3 Foundation for this purpose. They are asking the County to work with the Foundation to establish a win-win situation by transforming the school into a Village Center. The County's 2025 Comprehensive Plan affirms the County's intent that should the use of the school not continue for educational purposes to see that the school is converted to a civic use that reinforces the identity and function of the historic area, such as a community center. Mr. Teates provided some options on how to work together for the Foundation to acquire the old Prices Fork Elementary School facility.

Jack Selcovitz addressed the Board regarding concerns he has with the FY 213 budget, particularly with the way the Montgomery County School Board submits their budget to the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Selcovitz expressed concerns that the School Board does not submit a separate operating and capital budget. He urged the Board to require the School Board to submit separate budgets in order to see how much funding is for operating and what their capital needs are.

There being no further speakers, the public address session was closed.

INTO WORK SESSION

On a motion by William H. Brown, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors hereby enters into Work Session for the purpose of discussing the following:

1. Social Services –Foster Care Program and PRIDE Grant Position
2. H.S. Tejas, Ltd. Private Road Request
3. Lafayette, Route 11/460 Corridor Plan
4. County Collection Sites – Non-Profit Organizations
5. New Courthouse Furniture

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE

Mary W. Biggs
Christopher A. Tuck
Matthew R. Gabriele
Gary D. Creed
Annette S. Perkins
William H. Brown
James D. Politis

NAY

None

Social Services –Foster Care Program and PRIDE Grant Position

Larry Lindsey, Social Services Director, made a presentation on their request for a full-time position to cover the Pride Grant position that has been eliminated. Mr. Lindsey provided background information on the Pride grant program as follows:

In 2005, the Montgomery County Department of Social Services (MCDSS) began a grant program that focused on recruiting foster care homes for children in need of placement. The grant was based on recruiting, training, and supporting foster families in the NRV; the model used a proven training curriculum called PRIDE (Parent Resource for Information Development and Training.) The grant was a collaborative partnership between the 5 local departments of social services and DePaul Family Services, with MCDSS as the lead agency. Until FY 2012, the grant had been a renewal process which only required an updated plan to meet the changing goals and needs of the agencies. However, in April 2011, we were notified by the state that the grant had been converted to a competitive grant and would focus only on adoption services, not foster family recruitment efforts. MCDSS, with the assistance of New River Valley Community Services' Child and Family unit, submitted a grant proposal and was awarded funding for FY-12.

While adoption services are an important part of the Foster Care & Adoption unit at MCDSS and historically have been handled by our social workers, foster family recruitment and training is a more labor intensive task and is the basic foundation for developing successful placements for both foster care and adoptions. The PRIDE program was an integral part of the foster family recruitment process. The PRIDE curriculum is a ten week training course that helps prepare the prospective foster families for the child placement process. It is more intensive and in-depth than other trainings provided by the state and by other private providers. The likelihood of successful placements increases with the successful completion of the PRIDE training.

We rely heavily on Therapeutic Foster Homes (TFCs) for the placement of children with more intensive needs or when no PRIDE homes are available. The main issue when using TFCs is the cost incurred for placement; the cost of a PRIDE home vs. a TFC is significant in most cases due to administrative and indirect costs. Therefore, a decline in the availability of PRIDE homes for Montgomery County youth results in an increase in CSA costs to the county.

Since 2008, the county has invested \$19,758 annually in local match for the grant. We are proposing that the Board consider using those dollars and an additional \$38,782 to fund the current grant position as a classified county position and allow the position to focus exclusively on foster family recruitment, training, and support for Montgomery County children only. The numbers indicate that there could be a positive return on the county's investment if PRIDE homes increased and TFC placements decreased. There are no guarantees to the degree of potential savings, but we believe is worth serious consideration.

Mr. Lindsey stated he will be including this request in the Department of Social Services FY 2013 budget request.

Board members asked staff to review the request and provide the information during the budget process.

H.S. Tejas, Ltd. Private Road Request

Steve Sandy, Planning Director, reported that the County received a request by HS Tejas to include additional lands in the HS Tejas Subdivision and extend the permission to utilize private roads to serve these lands. Specifically, HS Tejas recently acquired a total of 36.924 acres from Paul Goad and Warren Radford. This land was bounded by Reese Mountain Road to the south and the HS Tejas land to the north. This inclusion will allow for lots of the HS Tejas property to directly have frontage on the existing Reese Mountain Road. This land would also be bound by all other proffers and conditions previously approved for the land by the Board of Supervisors on September 8, 2008.

Mr. Sandy stated the Planning Commission also reviewed this request and recommended approval of adding the additional acreage.

Lafayette, Route 11/460 Corridor Plan

Steve Sandy, Planning Director, provided an overview of the Lafayette, Route 11/460 Corridor Plan. The Lafayette Route 11/460 Corridor Plan builds on the policy framework of past planning efforts such as Elliston-Lafayette Village Plan and Village Transportation Links Plan (VITL) to clarify the corridor design and transportation planning principles intended for this portion of the 11/460 corridor. The study area encompasses the land around the Route 11/460 Corridor that runs from the Roanoke County line to the intersection with the Norfolk Southern Railroad. The overall purpose of The Lafayette Route 11/460 Corridor Plan is to develop an updated long range vision and conceptual plan for the corridor. The purpose is to anticipate and prepare for change and capitalize on future opportunities as the corridor develops over time.

To develop the plan, Montgomery County hosted a series of planning meetings for the Route 11/460 Corridor Plan. These meetings were staffed and facilitated by a team of professional planners and designers led by Renaissance Planning Group, the meetings included work sessions with County, MPO, PDC and VDOT staff, a public work session with property owners along the corridor, and a presentation/work session with the Montgomery County Planning Commission. At these work sessions, participants provided suggestions on their issues, concerns and desires for the Route 11/460 Corridor in the future.

Incorporating the perspectives and priorities of the people who live, work and do business along the corridor was a critical component in the development of the Route 11/460 Corridor Plan. The workshop results helped shape the ideas and principles that ultimately went into refined Corridor Land Use and Design Concept embodied in this Corridor Plan. The following list outlines the three key issues identified during the discussion with stakeholders and community leaders on June 8, 2011 and August 10, 2011.

1. Support economic development opportunities
2. Improve the safety of Route 460 for all users
3. Maintain or enhance the scenic quality of the corridor

The overall goal of this study is to develop an updated long range vision for the Route 11/460 Corridor in the area of Lafayette Village. The overall concept for the area includes a Corridor Design Plan, which describes the design character of the corridor. In addition, this study recommends specific refinements to the future land use map in the Elliston and Lafayette Village Plan, as well as slight refinements to the recommendations from the VITL plan for this portion of the corridor. The Route 11/460 Corridor has a long term opportunity to enhance the economic development potential for the eastern portion of Montgomery County. At the same time, future economic growth in the area should maintain the scenic character of the corridor as an appropriate eastern gateway into the county

Supervisor Creed commented that at the last community meeting, residents discussed concerns regarding the entrance to North Fork Road (SR 603) being rerouted through the Elliston Industrial Park and they stated it was a priority that the road remains in its current location and not rerouted.

Mr. Sandy asked the Board's permission to advertise a public hearing in February in order to receive citizens comments on the plan.

By Board consensus the Planning Director was given the authority to advertise a public hearing on the Lafayette, Route 11/460 Corridor Plan.

County Collection Sites – Non-Profit Organizations

Supervisor Tuck reported he asked that this work session item be included on the agenda. He asked if it was feasible at the County's larger collection sites for non-profit organizations such as Habitat for Humanity, Goodwill, and Salvation Army, to be allowed to set up collection boxes in order to receive donations. He believes this would help these organizations and help keep re-useable items from going into the landfill. Supervisor Tuck stressed that he did not want this to create any extra work for county employees or cost to the county.

There was Board discussion on implications if the County allowed non-profit organizations to utilize the collection sites as a drop-off site for donations, such as what groups would be allowed to use the sites; not adding any additional responsibility to the site attendants; inclement weather; keeping collection boxes emptied and keeping the area cleaned.

The Board directed staff to draft polices/parameters for non-profit organizations to set up collection boxes at the County's collection sites. The Board stated they would like to see a pilot program at two of the larger collection sites to determine if the program works. Board members emphasized that they did not want any more duties added to the Site Attendants.

New Courthouse Furniture

Supervisor Tuck stated he received a request from the General District Court office for permission to move their existing furniture to the new courthouse when completed. Apparently, the furniture is fairly new and employees have expressed their desire to keep this furniture. He believes if this furniture can be utilized it would save some money.

Jack Murphy, Architect with Thompson + Litton, explained the process of the Furniture and Fixtures package for the new courthouse. During the design phase of the courthouse, each area was looked at and designed to include furniture and fixtures to provide the most space. This is a very detailed and integrate design in order to meet the building design. The furniture to be purchased will be selected for its durability, to last 20+ years. The deadline to order the new furniture is within the next couple of weeks in order to have the furniture ready to set up when the courthouse completed.

Ron Bonnema, County Engineer, addressed the Board regarding the design of the space in the courthouse. He explained that countless hours have been spent meeting with the Judges and Clerks to determine the specific type of furniture needed. Not once during these discussions was it brought up the desire to keep the existing furniture.

The Board directed Jack Murphy and Ron Bonnema to tour the 2nd & 3rd floor of the Courthouse to determine if the existing furniture can be used at the new Courthouse. Board members also directed staff to determine if furniture has been purchased over the last several years for the 2nd & 3rd floor offices. Is so, who authorized the purchases and who paid for the purchases and is it suitable for the new courthouse?

Supervisor Tuck also suggested using the Jail inmates for moving items from the old Courthouse to the new Courthouse.

OUT OF WORK SESSION

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors ends their Work Session to return to Regular Session.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

AYE

Christopher A. Tuck
Matthew R. Gabriele
Gary D. Creed
Annette S. Perkins
William H. Brown
Mary W. Biggs
James D. Politis

NAY

None

RECESS

The Board of Supervisors took a 10 minute recess at 9:40 p.m. and reconvened at 9:50 p.m.

CONSENT AGENDA

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously, the Consent Agenda dated January 23, 2012 was approved.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

<u>AYE</u>	<u>NAY</u>
Matthew R. Gabriele	None
Gary D. Creed	
Annette S. Perkins	
William H. Brown	
Mary W. Biggs	
Christopher A. Tuck	
James D. Politis	

Approval of Minutes

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously, the minutes dated August 8, 2011 were approved.

Appropriations and Transfers

**A-FY-12-55
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE VICTIM GRANT
COMMONWEALTH’S ATTORNEY**

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Montgomery County Board of Supervisors that the General Fund was granted an appropriation in addition to the annual appropriation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012 for the function and in the amount as follows:

201	Commonwealth’s Attorney Grants	\$40,000
-----	--------------------------------	----------

The source of the funds for the foregoing appropriation is as follows:

<u>Revenue Account</u>		
02201-424401	Domestic Violence Victim Grant	\$40,000

Said resolution appropriates the Domestic Violence Victim Grant funds.

A-FY-12-56
RESOLUTION APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR
TOURISM INITIATIVES

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that the General Fund was granted an appropriation in addition to the annual appropriation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012, for the function and in the amount as follows:

910	Outside Agencies	\$25,000
810	Economic Development	<u>\$ 8,120</u>
	Total	\$33,120

The sources of funds for the foregoing appropriation are as follows:

419108	Recovered Costs	\$31,464
451205	Designated Fund Balance	<u>\$ 1,656</u>
	Total	\$33,120

Said resolution appropriates designated fund balance and recovered costs for tourism expenses.

A-FY-12-57
COUNTY ADMINISTRATION
TRANSFER FROM SPECIAL CONTINGENCIES AND
APPROPRIATION OF CARRYOVER FUND FROM FY 11
RETIREE INCENTIVES

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that a transfer of appropriation is hereby authorized, as follows:

<u>FROM:</u>		
960	Special Contingencies	(\$74,484)
<u>TO:</u>		
110	County Administration	\$74,484

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that the General Fund was granted an appropriation in addition to the annual appropriation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012, for the function and in the amount as follows:

110	County Administration	\$67,682
-----	-----------------------	----------

The source of funds for the foregoing appropriation is as follows:

451205	Designated Fund Balance	\$67,682
--------	-------------------------	----------

Said resolution transfers funds from Special Contingencies to County Administration for the costs associated with new retirement incentives and carries over funding previously appropriated for FY 11 retirement incentives.

R-FY-12-79
NRV COMMUNITY SERVICES BOARD
APPOINTMENT

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia hereby appoints **Mary W. Biggs** to the **New River Valley Community Services Board** effective January 24, 2012 and expiring June 30, 2012.

Said appointment fills the unexpired term of John A. Muffo, resigned.

NEW BUSINESS

R-FY-12-80
RESOLUTION ENDORSING THE
2011 NEW RIVER VALLEY
BIKEWAY, WALKWAY, BLUEWAY PLAN

On a motion by Annette S. Perkins, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, Montgomery County, Virginia (“County”) has participated in the development of the Regional Bikeway, Walkway, Blueway Plan (“Plan”); and

WHEREAS, The information within the plan accurately reflects existing facilities and access points in our community; and

WHEREAS, The proposed information within the plan accurately reflects local planning and the input the County provided during the plan development; and

WHEREAS, The Plan advances the goals identified in the adopted Montgomery County Comprehensive Plan, Village Plans and Village Transportation Links Plan (VITL); and

WHEREAS, The County supports the development of a multimodal transportation system that interconnects people, destinations, and neighboring communities; and

WHEREAS, The Montgomery County Parks and Recreation Commission endorsed the Plan on December 1, 2011, and the Montgomery County Planning Commission endorsed the Plan on December 7, 2011.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Montgomery, Virginia hereby endorses the 2011 New River Valley Bikeway, Walkway, Blueway Plan – sharing the common goals and interests identified in the regional vision.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That this resolution be included within the regional plan to affirm our support to the public, state, and federal agencies.

ADOPTED this 23rd day of January 2012 at the meeting of the Montgomery County Board of Supervisors.

The vote on the forgoing resolution was as follows:

<u>AYE</u>	<u>NAY</u>
Gary D. Creed	None
Annette S. Perkins	
William H. Brown	
Mary W. Biggs	
Christopher A. Tuck	
Matthew R. Gabriele	
James D. Politis	

R-FY-12-81
NEW RIVER VALLEY
HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by Matthew R. Gabriele and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The New River Valley Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2011 Update has been prepared in accordance with FEMA requirements at 44 C.F.R. 201.6; and,

WHEREAS, Montgomery County, participated in the preparation of a multi-jurisdictional plan, New River Valley Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2011 Update; and

WHEREAS, Montgomery County is a local unit of government that has afforded the citizens an opportunity to comment and provide input in the Plan and the actions in the Plan; and

WHEREAS, The Plan advances the goals identified in the Montgomery County Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, Montgomery County has reviewed the Plan and affirms that the Plan will be updated no less than every five years; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission endorsed the Plan on December 7, 2011.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, hereby adopts the New River Valley Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2011 Update as this jurisdiction's Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan, and resolves to execute the actions in the Plan.

ADOPTED this 23rd day of January 2012 at the meeting of the Montgomery County Board of Supervisors.

The vote on the forgoing resolution was as follows:

<u>AYE</u>	<u>NAY</u>
Annette S. Perkins	None
William H. Brown	
Mary W. Biggs	
Christopher A. Tuck	
Matthew R. Gabriele	
Gary D. Creed	
James D. Politis	

R-FY-12-82
AMEND FY2013 BUDGET CALENDAR

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by Annette S. Perkins and carried unanimously,

BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that the Board of Supervisors hereby amends their Budget Calendar for FY 2013 as follows:

Cancel	January 30, 2012 Work Session
Add	February 6, 2012 Work Session



REVISED JANUARY 23, 2012
COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY
BUDGET CALENDAR FISCAL YEAR 2013

JANUARY							FEBRUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	2	3	4	5	6	7				1	2	3	4
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
22	23	24	25	26	27	28	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
29	30	31					26	27	28	29			

MARCH							APRIL						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
25	26	27	28	29	30	31	29	30					

○ - Indicates Special Meeting

DATE	TIME	ACTIVITY
January 2012 9	7:15 PM	Public Hearing for citizen input.
February 2012 6 13	5:30 PM 7:00 PM	Budget work session. <i>(Special Meeting – prior to PSA meeting)</i> Presentation of school budget.
March 2012 1 19 29	5:30 PM 5:30 PM 6:00 PM	Presentation of the FY 2013 Proposed Budget. <i>(Special Meeting)</i> Budget work session; establish advertised tax rate and advertised budget. <i>(Special Meeting)</i> Public Hearing on advertised tax rate and budget. <i>(Special Meeting)</i>
April 2012 16	7:00 PM	Adopt budget and establish tax rate. <i>(Special Meeting)</i>

COUNTY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Election Polling Precincts: The County Attorney reported that he is reviewing the concerns that were reported during the latest election regarding the changes to the polling places due to the redistricting from the US Census. The Voter Registrar and Board of Supervisors received several complaints due to changing some precincts polling location. The Commonwealth of Virginia also redistricted state lines which created a split precinct in Montgomery County.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

The County Administrator reported on the following items:

- Blacksburg/Christiansburg/VPI Water Authority Joinder Study – A work session will be held soon to update the Board on the progress of the joinder study. He thanked the Assistant County Administrator and the County Attorney for their input and attending the meetings.
- Tourism Development Commission (TDC) - The TDC adopted their by-laws last week and worked on the Tourism Director's position duties.
- Joint Outside Agency Budget Review - A joint meeting with surrounding localities will be held on Monday, January 30, 2012 to discuss budget requests from outside agencies/non-profit agencies.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' REPORTS

Supervisor Perkins reported she attended her first meeting as Board representative to the Social Services Board.

Supervisor Creed asked fellow Board members if they would consider voting on the HS Tejas request tonight.

R-FY-12-83

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL ACREAGE TO BE ADDED TO THE HS TEJAS SUBDIVISION GRANTED APPROVAL TO USE PRIVATE STREET AS ACCESS

On a motion by Gary D. Creed, seconded by William H. Brown and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors at its May 27, 2008 meeting approved a Resolution authorizing HS Tejas Ltd. to subdivide Tax Map Parcel 33-(A)-6 ("the Property") using Reese Mountain Road, a private street, as access to the public right-of-way subject to seven (7) conditions; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors at its September 8, 2008 meeting approved a Resolution (R-FY-09-31) agreeing to amend condition number four by allowing the helicopter landing area for emergency evacuation to be located either within or adjacent to the subdivision property with the remaining conditions staying the same; and

WHEREAS, HS Tejas, Ltd. has requested the Board of Supervisors to add 36.249 acres that adjoins the subdivision as shown more particularly on the plat entitled "Plat Showing Boundary Line Relocation 140.060 AC. (Total) Property of Paul M. Goad & Warren E. Radford,

LLC HS Tejas, Ltd. located on Reese Mountain Road” dated June 15, 2011, (“the Boundary Line Relocation Plat”) to the Property that the Board of Supervisors has approved HS Tejas Ltd. subdividing using Reese Mountain Road as a private street access to the public road subject to the seven (7) conditions contained in Resolution R-FY-09-31; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors hereby agrees to allow HS Tejas, Ltd. to add 36.249 acres as shown on the Boundary Line Relocation Plat to the Property being subdivided using Reese Mountain Road, a private street, as access to the public right-of-way subject to the seven (7) conditions listed in Resolution R-FY-09-31.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of the County of Montgomery, Virginia, that the Board of Supervisors hereby approves of HS Tejas, Ltd. adding 36.249 acres as shown on the Boundary Line Relocation Plat to the Property being subdivided using Reese Mountain Road, a private street, as access to the public right-of-way subject to the seven (7) conditions listed in Resolution R-FY-09-31, which said Resolution R-FY-09-31 shall be incorporated and made a part of this Resolution.

The vote on the forgoing resolution was as follows:

<u>AYE</u>	<u>NAY</u>
Mary W. Biggs	None
Christopher A. Tuck	
Matthew R. Gabriele	
Annette S. Perkins	
William H. Brown	
Gary D. Creed	
James D. Politis	

Board of Supervisors’ Reports Continued

Supervisor Gabriele reported he was District G Representative to the Library Board, appointed by the Board of Supervisors. Since he was elected to the Board of Supervisors he believes it would be beneficial to resign from the Library Board and appoint another citizen representative. He stated it was his pleasure and honor to serve on the Library Board.

School Board - at the last School Board meeting three issues were discussed:

1. Redistricting Study
2. Facilities Update
3. FY 2013 School Budget

Supervisor Tuck - Grace Period for Delinquent Taxes in the event of family member death. Received a request for the County to grant a grace period for delinquent taxes in the event a taxpayer had a death in the family and could not pay their taxes on time due to being out of town,

etc. Supervisor Tuck met with the Treasurer, who explained that he could not enact a grace period. Supervisor Tuck asked if the Board of Supervisors could adopt a policy or grant a waiver of interest/penalties in this type of situation.

Voter Registrar – Supervisor Tuck reported he met with Randy Wertz, Voter Registrar, regarding posting the results of elections. Mr. Wertz stated it would help he could have a Flat Screen TV that could be installed in the lobby that could display the election results after each election.

Supervisor Biggs reported the Blacksburg Library was having problems with no hot water in the bathroom sinks. Supervisor Biggs asked if General Services could look into this.

Supervisor Politis reported he made the Industrial Hemp presentation to the Christiansburg Town Council at their last meeting. The Town Council will take action at their next meeting on whether to support Montgomery County’s resolution asking the General Assembly to pass legislation to allow industrial hemp be farmed in the state of Virginia.

ADJOURNMENT

On a motion by Mary W. Biggs, seconded by Christopher A. Tuck and carried unanimously, the Board adjourned to Monday, February 6, 2012 at 5:30 p.m.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

<u>AYE</u>	<u>NAY</u>
Mary W. Biggs	None
Christopher A. Tuck	
Matthew R. Gabriele	
Annette S. Perkins	
William H. Brown	
Gary D. Creed	
James D. Politis	

The Board adjourned at 10:15 p.m.

APPROVED: _____
James D. Politis
Chair

ATTEST: _____
F. Craig Meadows
County Administrator