DRAFT PUBLIC HEARING SECONDARY SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (in dollars)

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

2015--2020

Route / PPMS ID / Priority #	Road Name / Project # / Description / FROM / TO / Length	Estimated Cost		Previous Funding / SSYP Funding / Other Funding / Total	Additional Funding Required	PROJECTED FISCAL YEAR ALLOCATIONS 2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Balance to complete	Traffic Count / Scope of Work / FHWA # / Comments
Rt.4007		*PE*	$0										0
100122	1204007	*RW*	$0										Safety
	Countywide Traffic Services	*CON*				$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0		16021
S	Various Locations	*Total*		$100,000									Traffic Services Include Secondary Speed Zones, Speed Studies, Other New
Rt.4005		*PE*	$0										0
100181	1204005	*RW*	$0										Preliminary Engineering
	Countywide Engineering & Survey	*CON*				$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0		16015
S	Various Locations	*Total*		$35,000									Minor Survey & Prelimiinary Engineering For Budget Items and Incidental Type
Rt.4008		*PE*	$0										0
100346	1204008	*RW*	$0										Right of Way
	Countywide Right of Way Enginee	*CON*				$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0		16016
S	Various Locations	*Total*		$15,000									Use When To Open a Project: Attorney Fees and Acquisition Cost
Rt.0639	Mt. Pleasant Rd.	*PE*	$15,000										
86459	0639060P09	*RW*	$10,000			$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0		Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity
	Rte. 639 - Reconstruct and surface treat unpaved road.	*CON*	$553,623			$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0		16004
S	From 0.01Mi. W. Rte. 675	*Total*	$578,623	$2,225,830	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	0		
	To 1.24 Mi. E. Rte. 722												
Priority 1	1.3 miles	**Under Contract**											
Rt.0606	Sidney Church Road	*PE*	$44,764										80
4544	0606060P12	*RW*	$29,528										Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity
	Rte 606 - Reconstruct and surface treat unpaved road.	*CON*	$1,036,884			$302,948	$405,967						16004
	From Rte. 673	*Total*	$1,111,176	$1,081,177	$29,999						0		
Minimum Plan	To Rte. 669												
Priority 2	2.0 miles	2016											

Route PPMS ID Priority #	Road Name Project # Description FROM TO Length	Estimated Cost		Previous Funding *SSYP Funding* *Other Funding* *Total*	Additional Funding Required	PROJECTED FISCAL YEAR ALLOCATIONS						Balance to complete	Traffic Count Scope of Work FHWA # Comments
						2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20		
Rt.0600	Piney Woods Road	*PE*	$652,247										
81636	0600060101	*RW*	$427,147	$1,727,837		$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0		
	RTE 600-Reconstruct and Surface Treat Unpaved Road.	*CON*	$2,871,619	$757,814		$0	$0	$0	$1,465,362	$0	$0		Restoration and Rehabilitation 14006
	From 0.160 Mi. N.E. of Intersection 787	*Total*	$3,951,013	$2,485,651	$1,465,362	$0	$0	$0	$1,465,362	$0			
	To Intersection of Rte. 672												
Priority 3	2.2 miles		2014										
Rt.0639	Mount Pleasant Rd.	*PE*	$531,504										
87868	0639060720	*RW*	$91,708	$179,593				$311,967	$357,553	$357,553	$357,553		
	Rte. 639 - bridge over Elliott Creek Va struc 6054	*CON*	$1,776,749	$37,282		$0	$423,495	$0	$0	$0			Bridge Replacement w/o Added Capacity 16011
	From 1.25 Mi East Int. Rte. 722	*Total*	$2,399,961	$216,875	$2,183,086		$423,495	$311,967	$357,553	$357,553	$533,598		Revised schedule required.
	To 0.65 Mi West Int. Rte. 637												
Priority 4			2018										
Rt. 643	Yellow Sulphur Rd	*PE*	$225,000										
		R/W	$25,000										
	Reconstruct & surface treat unpaved road	*CON*	$3,050,000										
	including 2 bridges	*Total*	$3,300,000					$521,553	$542,948	$561,531	$410,661		
Priority 5	From 0.2 Mi S Intersection Rte 642												
	To 1.3 Mi N End State Maintenance												
	2.0 miles												
Rt.0639	Mount Pleasant Rd.	*PE*	$25,000										
		RW	$10,000										
Priority 6	Reconstruct & surface treat unpaved road	*CON*	$383,882								$100,000		
	From 0.10 Mi W of Rte. 675												
	To 0.07 Mi E of Rte. 742	*Total*	$418,882										
	1.2 miles												

AT AN ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY, VIRGINIA HELD ON THE 26th DAY OF AUGUST, 2013 AT 6:30 P.M. IN THE BOARD CHAMBERS, MONTGOMERY COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER, 755 ROANOKE STREET, CHRISTIANSBURG, VIRGINIA:

R-FY-14-29
RESOLUTION APPROVING THE
SIX-YEAR ROAD IMPROVEMENT PLAN
FOR SECONDARY ROADS FOR FY 2013/14-2018/19

On a motion by William H. Brown, seconded by Mary W. Biggs and carried unanimously,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia in cooperation with representatives of the Virginia Department of Transportation, have prepared a proposed Six-Year Plan for Montgomery County listing improvements proposed on the State Secondary Highway System in Montgomery County for which funds are to be budgeted in fiscal years 2013/14 – 2018/19; and

WHEREAS, A duly advertised public hearing was conducted at the Montgomery County Government Center in Christiansburg, Virginia at 7:00 p.m. on Tuesday, April 9, 2013 for the purpose of informing interested citizens of the proposed Six-Year Plan and for soliciting public input into the planning process in accordance with Section 33.1-70.01 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended; and

WHEREAS, David Clarke, Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Transportation, appeared before the Board of Supervisors and recommended approval of the Six-Year Plan for Secondary Roads (2013/14 through 2018/19) and the Construction Priority List (2013/14) for Montgomery County, with the following changes:

Priority #5	Remove Craig's Creek Road (SR 621) due to completion of the project
Priority #5	Add Yellow Sulphur Road (SR 643), grade, drain and stabilize unpaved road from 0.2 mile south of intersection at Route 642 to 1.3 miles North of begin state maintenance; and

WHEREAS, The Board has given due consideration to such input and other factors pertaining to improvements of the State Secondary Highway System in Montgomery County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, By the Board of Supervisors of Montgomery County, Virginia that the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the Six-Year Plan for Improvement of the State Secondary Highway System in Montgomery County for fiscal years 2013/14 – 2018/19 as shown below:

MONTGOMERY COUNTY
Secondary Six Year Plan
(2013/14 through 2018/19)

Priority	Route #	Road Name	From: To:	Description
0	VAR	VAR	----	Countywide
1	639	Mt. Pleasant Road	From 0.10 mi. W. Rte. 625 to 1.24 mi. E. Rte. 722	Reconstruct and Surface Treat to rural rustic road standards
2	606	Sidney Church Road	From Rte. 669 to Rte. 673	Reconstruct and Surface Treat
3	600	Piney Woods Road	From Rte. 787 to Rte. 672	Reconstruct Unpaved Portion
4	639	Mt. Pleasant Road	Bridge over Elliott Creek	Reconstruct
5	643	Yellow Sulphur Road	From 0.2 miles outh of Rt. 642 to 1.2 miles south	Reconstruct
6	639	Mt. Pleasant Road	From 0.05 mi W. Rte 722 to 0.07 mi E. Rte 742	Reconstruct

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That adoption of this plan also establishes priorities for preparation of the annual budget for the fiscal year 2013-2014 by the Virginia Department of Transportation Resident Engineer.

The vote on the forgoing resolution was as follows:

AYE	NAY	ABSENT
William H. Brown	None	Annette S. Perkins
Mary W. Biggs		Matthew R. Gabriele
Christopher A. Tuck		
Gary D. Creed		
James D. Politis		

ATTEST: F. Craig Meadows
F. Craig Meadows
County Administrator

May 7, 2014

VIA E-MAIL ONLY

Dear Mr. Meadows,

We are requesting that Montgomery County work with VDOT to resolve the traffic safety hazards that currently exist at the intersection of McCoy Road and Big Vein Road, namely the lack of a safe line of sight on Route 652. It would be appreciated if the County and VDOT consider using the existing right of way more efficiently.

We are requesting research be done that may alleviate the hazardous conditions that currently exist, in particular:

- A survey be accomplished of the VDOT right of way on McCoy Road and determine if the roadway surface can be adjusted to achieve the line of sight requirements for accepting Big Vein Road into the Rural Addition Program. This would also at the same time alleviate the hazardous traffic conditions that currently exist for **all vehicles traveling in either direction** past the intersection of McCoy Road and Big Vein Road.
- Explore the possibility of acquiring additional right of way on McCoy Road across from Big Vein to achieve the required line of sight.
- Upon successfully obtaining the required line of sight on McCoy Road, to reinstate Big Vein Road at the top of the list of the Rural Addition Program. We are asking this because none of these solutions were attempted and we did not have any representation on the Board of Supervisors when this was discussed in that our Supervisor abstained from voting in regards to this issue.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter! Please feel free to contact me at any time in regards to this letter.

Respectfully submitted

Robert I Goldstein

Robert I Goldstein
1976 Big Vein Road
Blacksburg VA 24060
540-581-5267
bgoldstein@nhrsi.org

-----Original Message-----
From: Nystrom, Lynn [mailto:tansy@vt.edu]
Sent: Thursday, May 08, 2014 1:08 PM
To: ## BOS; Judy Kiser
Cc: Whitt, Karen; William Smeltzer; Lawrence Newman; Noah Shanks; jennifershanks; Clarke, David, P.E. (VDOT); Price, Christopher L (VDOT); Sowers, Darrell (VDOT)
Subject: Va. State Route 674 or the roads leading into and in Sugargrove

Dear Montgomery County Board of Supervisors,

I am writing this email because I will be out of town on Monday, May 12, 2014 when I believe some of my neighbors will be attending the Board of Supervisors meeting for the public hearing.

The purpose of this email is to address needed improvements to the roads leading into and within Sugargrove (old Route 674, Montgomery County).

Several of us had a very productive meeting with our local VDOT official, and the result was the best grading of the road we have seen in a long time. Some drainage issues that apparently cause the pot holes were addressed in this grading.

We picked up several pamphlets while we were at the VDOT office, including one about paving the roads. And since the six-year plan for transportation is under discussion, we thought it might be the appropriate time to ask for additional road improvements.

We definitely qualify for paving in terms of:

1. We are part of the Virginia secondary system of state highways.

2. Our traffic count is well above the minimum of 50 or more vehicles per day.

This improvement would be for continued paving of Craigs Mountain Road, a project that was funded in the 1990s that paved about two additional miles of the road. We would ask that an additional two miles or more be considered, including the necessary widening and much needed guard rails for safety considerations. Much of this additional roadway is quite dangerous as it currently exists, especially in bad weather conditions.

We also understand that the Montgomery County Board of Supervisors could elect to pass a resolution declaring our road a "rural rustic road". This program provides another source of funding. Growth in our area has occurred over the last 30 years, but if reflected by three ten-year periods, it has been minimal.

We would also qualify for the Pave-in-Place program, and this would be the least desirable, as I believe more than minor improvements are needed.

Overall, I believe the Craigs Mountain Road needs improvement, and I am offering three possible options for obtaining upgrades. I prefer the inclusion in the six year plan for 2014-20.

Safety is a primary factor. In April, I know of two vehicles that went off the road into the ravine shortly after the pavement stops and the gravel begins.

I want to go on record as saying I personally know of four school bus accidents on our road over a number of years - none of which were reported in the newspaper to my knowledge. One occurred on the curve about a half a mile past the Sugargrove Baptist Church, heading towards the Blue Ridge Coon Club. A second one occurred at the curve where Craigs Mountain Road intersects Elliott Creek Road. The third one happened while the school bus driver was stuck, trying to get out of the Grove, and as he was putting chains on the tires of the bus, a vehicle coming down the road was unable to stop due to the ice, and careened into the school bus. The fourth one was an accident, also due to ice, that occurred near the current Gearhart home. When the police went down the road, two of their vehicles also went off the road. When the tow truck came, and parked next to me at the top of this decline, he refused to go down to the disabled vehicles (which we could see from our parked position). This last accident that I mention was in the 1990s.

Currently no speed limit is posted on our secondary road which means cars can travel as fast as 55 mph legally. Common sense defies anyone traveling at that speed on our roads. But apparently, it is legal. It defies logic, and goes against VDOT's statement that "speed regulations and speed limits are intended to supplement motorists' judgement in determining speeds that are reasonable and proper for particular weather and road conditions."

I and my neighbors would appreciate your assistance in upgrading our road. Please share this request with the county attorney, as his email does not appear on his web page.

Thank you for your consideration, especially for our inclusion in the six year plan.

Lynn Nystrom
1613 Bow Hill Road
Christiansburg, Va 24073